# **Dossier Evaluation**

# Lisa Tell, Professor of Veterinary Medicine Chair, Committee on Academic Personnel (CAP) Oversight Committee 2020-2021

# **Role and Responsibilities of CAP**

- Academic Senate Committee that provides oversight of the personnel process
  - <u>Evaluate</u> and make recommendations on "major" academic personnel actions: appointments, appraisals, promotions, high-level and 2 step merits (500-600 per year)
  - CAP recommendations are **advisory** to the Vice Provost of Academic Affairs
  - Other merit actions are re-delegated to the FPCs
  - <u>Appoint</u> Faculty Personnel Committees (FPCs) at the college/school level, in consultation with Faculty Executive Committees

# **CAP Membership**

- Nine members representing major campus units
  - Appointed to a 1-year terms by the Committee on Committees, with possibility of reappointment (~3 new members/year)
  - CAP Members have prior personnel experience



# **How Does CAP Function?**

- Meets weekly, year-round
- Reviews 10-20 dossiers/week
  - Primary reviewer evaluates and presents case
  - CAP members review, discuss, and vote on each dossier
  - Guided by criteria in APM 210 and APM 220
  - CAP recommendation and vote is communicated to the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs (Provost in the case of tenure)
  - CAP Appellate Committee



# **How Does CAP Function?**

- CAP takes a holistic view
  - Doesn't just enumerate accomplishments
- CAP considers field-specific factors
  - Expectations vary widely across academic units and should be clearly explained in the dossier
    - (e.g. number of publications expected per year; book disciplines)
- CAP applies equitable standards across the entire campus

# **How Does CAP Function?**

- Appraisals: positive/guarded/negative
- Promotions: normal time or accelerated (no step-plus)
- Merits: evaluated using Step-Plus criteria
  - 1.0 step: expected `meritorious' and balanced performance in all areas
  - 1.5 steps: **outstanding performance** in one area



- 2.0 steps: outstanding performance in two areas
- Research, teaching, service, professional/clinical competence\*

\* mostly relevant to Clinical X series in SOM or SVM

 Equity adjustment: Considered when overall record is consistent with expectations for a higher step

# **Elements of a Dossier**

#### Teaching

- List of teaching evaluations
- **Peer review** (promotions)
- Teaching, Advising, Curricular Development-especially graduate student mentoring
- Service
  - List of Service (university & professional)
  - Expectation varies for Asst/Assoc/Full
- Honors & Awards

#### Research & Creative work

- Publication list (articles, chapters, books, etc.)
- Creative activities (art, compositions, performances, etc.)
- Contributions to Jointly Authored Work-first/last vs. middle author
- Development of thematic focused program (Asst/Assoc) that demonstrates an intellectual voice
- Grants & Contracts (award period, amount, funding agency
- Presentations

# **Elements of a Dossier**

- Dean's Letter
- Department Letter
  - Vote, comments
- Extramural Letters
  - Appointments/promotions
  - Arms-length and non-armslength



- Candidate Statement (strongly recommended)
- **Diversity Statement** (optional)
- Rebuttal Letter (in response to anything in dossier before it goes to vote)
- Rejoinder Letter: In response to the vote (if applicable)
- Action History
- Candidate Disclosure Form

# **Preparing Your Dossier**

- Focus on the review period
- Ensure the information is current and accurate
- Ensure the information is organized and in the correct category
- Errors compromise credibility
- Work closely with Department Chair and staff



# Why a Candidate Statement? (strongly recommended)

- A focused, clear, and succinct statement can convey important insights into your work
  - CAP members have diverse backgrounds
- Focus on the quality and significance of your work
  - Why is it important? Why is it innovative?
  - Write a narrative, don't reiterate lists and numbers
  - Identify your distinctive role in jointly-authored work
- Be forthright about your strengths and weaknesses
  - And the steps that you are taking to address the latter
- Mention any unusual circumstances that may have affected performance

# Teaching

- Clearly demonstrated evidence of high-quality teaching is an essential criterion for appointment, advancement, and promotion
  - Course load\*, enrollments, evaluations (quantitative and comments), department averages
  - Mentoring
    - Graduate, undergraduate and K-12
    - Other: postdocs, residents, visiting scientists etc.
  - Curriculum development (new courses, new materials, new approach, changes in curriculum)
  - Peer evaluation of teaching (promotions only)
  - Candidate statement: philosophy, goals, self-assessment
  - What constitutes outstanding teaching?

# **Research and Creative Work**

- Evidence the candidate is continuously and effectively engaged in creative activity/research of high quality and significance
  - Originality, creativity, scope, and impact of articles and creative works
  - **Quality** of journals, book publishers, performance venues
  - The candidate's role in collaborative work-revealed by author position and role in obtaining funding (PI vs. co-PI)
  - Is the candidate establishing a thematic focused research program that conveys their intellectual voice?
  - Appraisals should include works in-progress to demonstrate trajectory
  - No single factor makes or breaks a case, but there must be some clear evidence of productivity

# **University & Professional Service**

- The faculty play an important role in governance of the university and in service to the community, state, nation, and profession
  - Expectations increase with rank and step
  - University Service
    - Department, college, and campus committees; graduate groups, designated emphasis area; graduate admissions
  - Professional and Public Service
    - Professional organizations, conference organizer, editorial boards, grant review panels, ad hoc reviews
    - **Membership alone** in a group or organization is NOT service
  - Keep your service record streamlined and updated

# **Tips for Success**



- Beware of "predatory" online journals, both when publishing and when joining editorial boards
- Collaborative work: clearly describe your contribution, evidence of a leadership role, and intellectual voice
- Good Mentorship can be very valuable
- Balance is key!!!
  - Don't focus intensively on one category to the detriment of others
  - Step Plus actions must first meet expectations of meritorious accomplishments in all areas of evaluation

#### **Resources**

- Consult with senior colleagues, including faculty with experience on FPC or CAP
- Read the evaluation criteria in APM 210 and 220
- Use resources available in Academic Affairs
- Teaching resources, e.g., Center for Educational Effectiveness



http://academicaffairs.ucdavis.edu