## Candidate Evaluation Tool for Faculty Searches

- Use the Selection Criteria created specifically for this search to populate the form (consider using a Google Form to expedite the review and scoring process).
- Consider using a 1-5 rating for each category ( 5 = excellent, 4 = very good, 3 = good, 2 = fair, 1 = poor), where any score of "1" would disqualify a candidate from moving forward.
- Calibrate the scoring form by first discussing and agreeing on the selection criteria, and then having all committee members independently score 5-10 applications to assess reliability.
- Base scoring on career stage and expected accomplishments for that level- discuss the different expectations for each criteria.
- Each applicant should be reviewed using this form by a minimum of two- three search committee members for the full pool. Widely divergent assessments should necessitate a
"tie breaker" review.
- Each applicant on the "long list" should receive a review and rating by all committee members.
- Weights can be assigned to different categories as needed (e.g., if Research should be $60 \%$ of the total score, the three research categories can receive weighting to make them proportionately 60\%).

| Research |  |  | Teaching |  | Service |  | Contributions to Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI)* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curricular Fit | Productivity | Plans | Teaching Area | Mentoring | Engagement with the campus | Engagement with the professional community | Knowledge and understanding | Track Record | Plans |
| 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 |
| Example areas for assessing research quality and potential: <br> - Past research accomplishments (publication record-- emphasize quality not number or journal, impact/novelty of research, presentations, grants/ fellowships etc.) <br> - Research plan. Potential for sustained impact? Creative, doable, exciting? Long term and short term vision? Also consider info from rec letters. <br> - How well does the proposed research mesh with current research in the department? Would they find research colleagues here? Synergy can come from techniques, systems, etc. <br> - Potential for interdisciplinary collaboration <br> - Interest and ability to develop a new research area |  |  | Example areas for assessing teaching quality and potential: <br> - Potential to or demonstrated ability to teach undergrad and graduate courses (specify which areas) <br> - Interest in teaching and record of teaching accomplishments <br> - Ability to attract and successfully mentor excellent graduate students |  | Example areas for assessing service: <br> - Potential or track record of department engagement <br> - Potential to make a positive contribution to the department climate <br> - Potential to be a conscientious community member <br> - Potential to make positive contributions to the professional community |  | Go to the OFEW contributions to diversity webpage for guidance. Example areas: <br> - Knowledge of, experience with, and interest in dimensions of diversity that result from different identities, such as ethnic, socioeconomic, racial, gender, sexual orientation, disability, and cultural differences. <br> - Familiarity with challenges faced by underrepresented individuals and the need to identify and eliminate barriers to their full and equitable participation and advancement. <br> - Experiences or participation in activities designed to remove barriers and increase participation of underrepresented students, staff, and/or faculty. <br> - Specific ideas for programs, initiatives, or activities to initiate at Berkeley if hired |  |  |

