Aspirational Principles and Guidelines Regarding Conflict of Interest on Recruitment Committees

Guiding Principles and Policies

The quality of the faculty of the University of California is maintained primarily through "objective and thorough appraisal, by competent faculty members, of each candidate for appointment or promotion." (APM 210-1.a.). Although the instructions for review committees given in APM 210 do not explicitly address conflicts of interest for committee members, policy does clearly indicate that it is the right of every faculty member "to be judged by one's colleagues, in accordance with fair procedures and due process... solely on the basis of the faculty members' professional qualifications and professional conduct." (APM 015 Part I.6.)

Standards

In searching for qualified candidates for a new or vacant position in a department, faculty serving on the recruitment committee, or otherwise engaged in the recruitment, selection and review of candidates, shall make every effort to ensure that any significant personal, academic or professional relationships they may have with a candidate do not interfere with the objective evaluation of all potential candidates or create a perception that evaluation was not objective.

Examples of situations that might create either a real or perceived conflict of interest for a member of a recruitment committee include, but are not limited to, the review of candidates who are current or former students, postdocs, mentees, co-authors, close collaborators or partners in a business or professional practice. Other situations may involve review of a candidate who has or has had in the past, a significant personal relationship with the faculty member, either positive or negative, that might impact the ability of the faculty member to participate objectively in the comparison of the qualifications of that candidate with those of other candidates.

In such situations, it is the obligation of the faculty member to disclose the nature and extent of the personal or professional relationship, and engage in a discussion as appropriate with the recruitment committee, the chair of the recruitment committee, or the chair of the department regarding the nature of the potential conflict of interest and his or her continued participation in the recruitment.

Depending on the nature of the relationship, and based on discussion with the recruitment committee chair, the faculty member may:

- 1. Voluntarily recuse him or herself from participation on the recruitment committee or in the review and selection process;
- 2. Voluntarily recuse him or herself from discussion and/or voting on the particular candidate with whom there is a potential real or perceived conflict of interest;
- 3. Continue to serve on the committee and in the review/selection process, but with full disclosure of the relationship to the committee and, if the candidate is on the short list, to the department;

When the recommended appointment involves a candidate with a significant personal, academic or professional relationship with one or more members of the recruitment committee or other faculty members actively engaged in the review and selection process, this fact shall be communicated by the committee chair to the dean and to the Vice Provost--Academic Affairs, using the attached form, at the same time that the short list recommendation and Diversity Report are being forwarded for approval.

UC Davis Faculty Recruitment Committee— Potential Conflict of Interest Disclosure.

To be completed by the Recruitment Committee Chair and provided to the department for inclusion in UC Davis RECRUIT.

UC Davis Recruitment ID#:	Position rank (mark all that apply):
Department:	Assistant / Associate / Full
Position Title:	
Please answer the following questions:	
	onflicts of interest (PCOIs) between the members of ts who were evaluated by the committee members?
No, I know of no such conflicts. (If this is document.)	s the case, please proceed to part D . of this
the following ways. (Please check	ng recruitment deliberations, and were addressed in all that apply below, and provide any additional e text box at the end of Part C of this document.)
	sed the PCOI to me before review of applications application review process.
	ed him or herself from participation on the search eview and selection process.
	ed him or herself from discussion and/or voting on the ith whom there is a potential conflict of interest
	nued to serve on the committee and in the ess, but with full disclosure of the relationship to the
	onflicts of interest (PCOI) between the members of the ho were selected to be interviewed for the position?
No, I know of no such conflicts. (If this is document.)	s the case, please proceed to part D . of this
the interview process. The potent the following ways. (Please check C of this document.)	earch committee members and applicants advanced to ial impacts of these relationships were addressed in all that apply and complete the table provided in Part isclosed to the department before the proposed ized.

	(2) The RC member rec interview list.	used him or herself from discussion and	or voting on the	
(3) The RC member recused him or herself from discussion and/or voting on the particular candidate with whom there is a potential real or perceived conflict of interest				
C. If you answered yes to any of the questions in part B. above, please provide the information about the faculty member(s) and candidate(s) below:				
Recruitment Committee member	Candidate to be interviewed	PCOI relationship – brief description (see standards)	How PCOI addressed (A1- 4 above) (B1-3 above)	
If you have any concerns about PCOIs during this process, or wish to provide more explanation for how PCOIs were managed in this recruitment, please feel free to elaborate below.				

Signature:		Date:
Trinced name.	Chair of the Recruitment Committee	
Signature:		Date:
Printed name:		
	Vice or Co-Chair of the Recruitment Committee	
	* required if the Chair has a conflict of interest	
Signature:		Date:
Printed name:		
	Chair of the Department	

* required if the Chair and Vice or Co-Chair have conflicts of interest

D. Respectfully submitted,