CHECKLIST FOR APPRAISAL

For the following Academic Senate and Academic Federation series:

Assistant Professor Acting Assistant Professor Assistant Adjunct Professor Acting Professor of Law Assistant Professor In Residence Assistant Professor of Clinical Assistant Professor of Teaching Assistant Professor of Teaching Assistant Specialist in Cooperative Extension	
<u>Department</u> will submit to the dean's office the information listed below through MyInfoVault (MIV) as a stand-alone dossier or concurrent with a merit as a linked action:	
 Department recommendation, a well-documented letter containing: a. Concise evaluation of candidate's achievements in teaching, research, and service, as applicable. b. Statement delineating the academic responsibilities of the position. c. Report of the nature and extent of consultation and the vote of faculty members in the department. Votes should be recorded as positive, guarded or negative. Reasons for negative votes should be addressed the department letter. Strongly recommend that all written comments be appended to the department letter. 	
NOTE: OPTIONAL – The Criteria of Scholarship should be appended to the Department letter or referred to in the Department letter.	;
Signed Candidate's Disclosure Certificate	
OPTIONAL – Candidate's statement (1-5 pages only)	
OPTIONAL – Candidate's diversity statement	
List of all student evaluations submitted for the review period, if any	
Teaching, Advising, and Curricular Development form DESII Report	
List of service activity, if applicable	
 Complete list of publications and/or creative activities (UCD-220-Exhibit C): a. Indicate those materials that have been added since last approved action, if applicable (draw a line). i. Previous lines drawn should remain for future actions b. Indicate with an asterisk (*) those publications included in the review period. (Note: these may appear abov or below the line; e.g. delay in publication.) c. Indicate with a (X) the most significant publications. d. Indicate with a (+) major mentoring role publications. e. Indicate with a (@) refereed publications. f. In press items must have letters or emails indicating that items have been accepted for publication, unless to items are galley proofs. Attach the acceptance letters or emails to the manuscript in the supporting documents. 	
NOTE: The term "in press" designates works that have been accepted for publication without revision. Book contra	cts

NOTE: If there is a link directly to the full publication (not an abstract), reprints do not need to be provided. Add the link to the article into the publication list(s) in MIV. Ensure all links are active or the dossier will be returned. If

no such link can be provided, please provide a paper copy of the publication.

rev. 7/22/2024

	Candida Example techniq	contributions to jointly authored works (numbering corresponds with numbering on publications list). ates can list all authors, but should only describe their own contributions and leadership role to the work. les of leadership include activities such as developing the concept, inventing or applying novel analytic ues, making key discoveries, changing the interpretation of findings and writing substantial sections of the An estimate of the candidate's percent contribution to the work should not be included.		
	List of honors and awards, if any			
	_ List of grants, if any			
	Agricultural experiment station reports, if applicable			
	NOTE:	When a merit review and an appraisal review coincide, the appraisal review file will be used for the merit review. An appraisal linked to a merit will require an additional departmental letter of evaluation (with a separate vote), dean's recommendation letter, and a signed Candidate's Disclosure Certificate.		
<u>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION</u> (will be returned to department after the review and decision is finalized):				
		sublished or in press since appointment. Place a copy of acceptance letter(s) or email(s) with the in press cript. Copies of submitted manuscripts may be included at the option of the candidate.		
	Complete student evaluations from all courses taught during the review period.			
	NOTE:	If there are no physical supporting documents, the department should send an email to notify the dean's office that the dossier is ready for review in MIV and that there are no physical supporting documents. The same courtesy should be provided to the Senate Office and Academic Affairs when dean's offices route actions in MIV.		
<u>Dear</u>	n's offic	ce will provide:		
	College/school faculty personnel committee (FPC) recommendation letter			
	_ Dean's recommendation letter			